

glideinWMS - Bug # 1891: frontend reconfig not populating GSI_DAEMON_PROXY correctly in frontend.condor_config

Status:	Resolved	Priority:	Normal
Author:	John Weigand	Category:	
Created:	09/20/2011	Assigned to:	Krista Larson
Updated:	10/11/2011	Due date:	
Subject:	frontend reconfig not populating GSI_DAEMON_PROXY correctly in frontend.condor_config		
Description:	<p>These are occurring in both the branch_v2plus and master branches.</p> <p>Problem 1 -----</p> <p>When performing a <code>./frontend_startup reconfig <cfg_file></code>, the security element <code>classad_proxy</code> element is used to set the <code>GSI_DAEMON_PROXY</code> attribute in the <code>frontend.condor_config</code> file.</p> <p>This is not occurring. It is fine on a create but not on a reconfig.</p> <p>Problem 2 -----</p> <p>In verifying this, I noticed that there is no verification done in the reconfig (and I assume in the create) that the <code>proxy_DN</code> attribute is valid for the <code>classad_proxy</code> attribute value. A validation for this takes place in the ini installer. If these 2 attributes are not related (and I have always assumed they are), then the ini installer needs to change.</p> <p>Problem 3 -----</p> <p>The reconfig does verify that the <code>classad_proxy</code> is, at least, a valid file. If not, the reconfig says so (not very well) but still indicates the reconfig was successful. This would be something a user could easily miss.</p> <pre>> ./frontend_startup reconfig ../instance_one_node.cfg/frontend.xml { -force_name name -writeback yes no -update_scripts yes no -xml xml -help } security.classad_proxy(/home/weigand/grid-security/x509_pilot_xen21_dzero_proxy) is not a file Reconfiguring the frontend [OK]</pre>		

History

09/20/2011 11:20 am - Parag Mhashilkar

- Target version set to v2_5_3

09/26/2011 03:29 pm - Burt Holzman

- Assigned to set to Douglas Strain

10/10/2011 03:15 pm - Burt Holzman

- Assigned to changed from Douglas Strain to Krista Larson

10/11/2011 03:05 pm - Krista Larson

- Status changed from New to Resolved

Problem 1 is fixed in both v2plus and in master.

Problem 2, we should do full validation of the factory and frontend config on startup. I'm moving this to a new issue #2000.

Problem 3, I am also adding to #2000. We can make the error reporting more clear as part of updating the validation.